The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service prints news and official interpretations of matters affecting labor concerning in the industrial, legislative and judicial fields, and contains other information of benefit to the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 30, 1915, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 10, 1918.

When you define liberty you limit it, and when you limit it you destroy it.—Brand Whitlock.

WHOLE NO. 1419 WILLIAM GREEN, President WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, JUNE 25, 1938 FRANK MORRISON, Secretary-Treasurer VOL. 28, NO. 26

EDITORIALS

Sloan's Brainstorm on Wages

A defense of the low wages and long hours which many employers force hundreds of thousands of working men and women to accept featured a message sent by Alfred P. Sloan, Jr., chairman of the General Motors Corporation, to the company's 378,000 stockholders, in a communication on the "trend of business" distributed with the June dividend checks.

Asserting that the Nation's system of production and distribution of wealth had been unbalanced by too rapid increase in wages and shortening of hours, Mr. Sloan unlimbered good-sized chunks of his ire against the Federal Wage and Hour Act of 1938 as a continuation by present fiat of the unbalancing process.

What are the wage rates which cause Mr. Sloan's wrath to knock the top out of his temperamental thermometer? For the first year after the Act becomes effective employers in the industries covered by it will be required to pay these employes not less than 25 cents per hour with a maximum work week of 44 hours. This amounts to $11 for a full-time week. For the next six years the minimum wage must be not less than 30 cents per hour. For the first year of this six-year period the length of the maximum work week is reduced to 42 hours, or $12.60 for a full-time week. For the next five years the maximum work week is reduced to 40 hours and the minimum pay raised to 40 cents an hour by the end of that period. When the wage is raised to 40 cents, the workers will receive $16 for a full-time week.

The contemplated high wage scale which Mr. Sloan visions as further unbalancing the American system of production and distribution are $11 for a 44-hour week, $12.60 for a 42-hour week, and, ultimately, $16 for a 40-hour week.

Personally, Mr. Sloan doesn't have to worry. Last year he is reported to have been paid a remuneration in the neighborhood of $650,000 for his services to the stockholders of General Motors. Provided no raise was given by this munificent salary, he would do well to hide his totally unwarranted hostility to a measure which proposes to increase the wages of employes less than the altogether-too-low weekly wages of $11 next year, $12.60 the year following, and $16 by 1945.

Investors Organize Against Railroad Workers

An indication that investors are usually afflicted with congenital and hidebound shortsightedness when it comes to a consideration of wages is apparent in the action of the National Council of Investors in forming State units to influence legislation in favor of railroad executives and against railroad executives to seek to cut the wages and reduce the living standards of the railroad employes. But for the railroad investors to make common ground with the railroad executives in undertaking to slash the living standards of those without whom there would be no railroad transportation, manufactured, no dividends and no interest on railroad securities is shortsightedness carried to the nth degree.

If the National Council of Investors were imbued with the genuine patriotism which should characterize these organizations as frequently described as "unearned," its members would turn from their subversive endeavors to slash railroad wages for the benefit of rail security owners and take up the more constructive task of helping them solve their financial difficulties in the interest of railroad bonds. It has been suggested that voluntary agreements with railroad bondholders to accept lower interest rates until business recovery helps the railroad industry and thus would relieve considerable of the distress experienced by railroad managements. Serious consideration of this suggestion by the National Council of Investors would undoubtedly be made in the public interest than joining with the railroad executives to slash wages of railroad workers. It would also very likely benefit the railroad bondholders. This view is held by Senator Borah of Idaho, who proposes that those who own railroad bonds take a drastic cut in interest rates to help the railroad executives through their present financial difficulties. Suggesting passage of legislation to this end in the event if the interest slash were made, Senator Borah said "the bondholders would rather have an assured three per cent than a six per cent return that they do not get."

Housing Loans Create Jobs For Jobless

The tremendous forces exercised by Federal loans for housing in the mitigation of the unemployment tragedy creating work for the workless is impressively revealed in the estimate of the number of jobs that will result from the provision in the $3,000,000,000 relief appropriation bill passed by Congress authorizing the United States Housing Authority to use an additional $300,000,000 for self liquidating loans to assist slum clearance and housing for low-income groups. Last year Congress authorized $800,000,000 for this purpose.

According to an estimate prepared by the American Federation of Labor which supported the new authorization, the additional $300,000,000 housing program made possible by the $300,000,000 will provide "direct employment at the site of the projects for more than 200,000 building trades mechanics and laborers, as well as to provide 450,000 workers employed in the production of building materials and their transportation." Full time employment for these hundreds of thousands of jobless workers in building and allied industries will provide an immense relief for the unemployed in areas most deeply affected by lack of work.

In surveying the effect the housing program will have on business generally, the Federation declares it will require about 1,500,000,000 board feet of lumber, 3,750,000,000 bricks, 7,000,000 barrels of cement, 6,500,000 gallons of paint, and 700,000 tons of steel.

Added to these constructive results in the fields of unemployment relief and business recovery, the A. F. of L. emphasizes the "permanent social usefulness" of the enlarged housing program, "the primary purpose of which is to provide wholesome, decent housing for 200,000 families—nearly 1,000,000 men, women and children now live in over-crowded, disease-ridden slums and fire-trap tenements."

Surely providing decent houses for this vast number of persons in slum areas and putting to work nearly a million and a quarter men and women in 11,000,000 in a combination of socially constructive features which will receive the unanimous commendation of all our citizens.

The Exclusion of Russian Labor Unions From I.F.T.U. Membership

Debate at Oslo Conference Throws Light on Views of Delegates Supporting Recommendation of Executive of International Federation of Trade Unions Against Admitting Labor Group of Soviet Union.

By A. F. of L. Weekly News Service.

The action of the recent conference of the International Federation of Trade Unions at Oslo, Norway, in refusing by a vote of 16 to 4 to accept the National Trade Union Centre of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as a member of the Federation, was taken following a thorough discussion extending over two days.

Matthew Woll, third vice president of the American Federation of Labor, represented the A. F. of L. at the conference.

The immediate background of the question began with the decision of the London Congress of the I. F. T. U. of 1936 directing the Executive to get into touch with the countries not yet affiliated with the I. F. T. U.

Repeated efforts to bring the National Trade Union Centre of the Soviet Union into affiliation with the International Federation of Trade Unions failed to produced no effect until late in 1937, when a communication was received. Eventually a delegation of the I. F. T. U. Executive, consisting of Vice President Jouhaux, General Secretary Citrine, and Assistant Secretary Stolz went to Moscow and brought back not an application for membership but a set of terms on which the Soviet unions said down as conditions on which they would consider affiliation.

Last January the Executive of the I. F. T. U. took the view that these conditions were not acceptable and advised the affiliated countries not to accept them. The recommendation of the Executive was the order of business at the Oslo conference, at which twenty-six national labor organizations with a membership of approximately 20,000,000 were represented.

The I. F. T. U. Executive is composed of the following persons: President, Walter M. Citrine; vice presidents, H. Jacobsen, Denmark; L. Jouhaux, France; E. Tanner, Switzerland; C. Mertens, Belgium; Dr. Tayrels, Czechoslovakia; General Secretary, W. Schevenels; Assistant Secretary, G. Stolz.

Prior to the Oslo conference the American Federation of Labor, through its Executive Council, declared last February that it would withdraw from the I. F. T. U. if the Trade Union Centre of the Soviet Union were admitted. A similar attitude had been expressed by the British Trades Union Congress.

The few supporters of permitting the Trade Union Centre of the Soviet Union to affiliate with the I. F. T. U. included Vicente Lombardo Toledano, head of the Mexican labor group, who frankly negotiated that the Fascist danger was a world danger, and that the Soviet union could only be effectively fought by world unity. Thereafter, speaking for the Italian Labor Federation he voted "Yes" to the Russian conditions for joining the I. F. T. U.

L. Jouhaux, one of the vice presidents of the I. F. T. U., speaking for the French Trade Union Centre, took the view that the conversations with the Trade Union Centre of the Soviet Union should continue.

At the conclusion of the two days' debate the conference rejected by a vote of 16 to 4 the conditions laid down by the Soviet unions. Then the conference carried the following resolution by 14 votes to 7:

"The General Council having regard to the conditions now existing in the U.S.S.R. and to the views expressed by the National centres affiliated to the I. F. T. U. decides not to proceed further with the application of the National Council of the Trade Unions in the U.S.S.R."

The London Daily Herald, the voice of British organized labor in the Labor newspaper field, published an instructive summary by George Thomas, its Oslo correspondent, of the second day's debate devoted to those who opposed admitting the Soviet unions to the I. F. T. U. Following is the Daily Herald's summary:

Arms of State

M. Zdamowski (Poland) said that the present situation in Russia resembled in some respects that in Italy before the tendency there was towards reinforcement of the national organizations by still more dictatorship.

The Russian trade unions were not free agents and were merely arms of the State.

Russian affiliation would cause specific difficulties for the Polish unions, as it would be used against them by their opponents. He explained that the peasant and industrial parties were closely associated with the Polish trade union movement. The fact, he said, was that they were intensely against Communism.

If they now countenanced acceptance of the Russian tied unions they would not be able to make any protests in Poland understand why they should fight for free trade unionism only in capitalist countries.

Mr. George Hicks, M.P., one of the British delegates, said that anyone who assisted international understanding and trade unions, for example, opposed Communism and Fascism were equally intolerable to free peoples.

Mexico's Future

Addressing himself to the Mexican delegate (who had favored Russia's entry), Mr. Woll said the A. F. of L. keenly sympathized with them.

"We know," he said, "that even Mexico itself may sooner or later be confronted with strife from within.

"We hope Mexico may maintain its democracy and we stand prepared to give aid and service in maintaining it."

But that task, he said, would not be achieved by giving any encouragement to divisions in Labor's ranks in the United States.

Principles

The Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation was directed by the Secretary of Agriculture to confer with State and local officials in obtaining the information. He said the full resources of that agency would be mobilized to guard against "the danger of going hungry in a land of plenty."

Officials said the Surplus Commodities Corporation already had available $17,000,000 for purchase of surplus farm products during June, $75,000,000 for such purposes in the fiscal year beginning July 1.

But that task, he said, would not be achieved by giving any encouragement to divisions in Labor's ranks in the United States.

Communist Principles

But, he asked, would the affiliation of Russia to this powerful international add to unity? Experience in Britain was that association with the Communists weakened discredited and divided the Movement.

The principles of the Communists, if they had any, were subordinated to expediency, and their policy was determined by the Moscow weathercock. No one knew how that was going to blow tomorrow.

Denial of the Communist neutrality and method was the Communist International's May Day attack this year on the I. F. T. U. president, Sir Walter Citrine, whom it denounced as collaborating with wreckers of the Workers' class Movement and striving in the interests of Fascism to destroy unity.

The British T. U. C. believed the conference would be acting wrongly in accepting the Russian conditions, and it asked the conference to vote against them and to continue its grave task of trade union unity with those who were able to accept the standards and rules of the I. F. T. U. and to adhere to its policy.

"Sanctions"

M. Mertens, (Belgium) pointed out how essential the Russian conditions were.

For example, "sanctions" were to be applied by the working class against Fascist countries, but Russia had very friendly commercial relations with Fascist countries, and those would presumably continue while workers elsewhere were required to strike and to take sanctions.

The Soviet unions would not take the action expected of others; to strike was illegal almost in Russia.

Continuing the conversations would only increase confusion, and the position the Russians wished to create that confusion.

M. Meister (Switzerland) said the Swiss T. U. C. stood unreservedly for democracy. If the Russian unions admitted the Swiss would have to consider carefully whether they could stay in the International.

"It Means Unity"

Mr. Matthew Woll (United States) submitted that the I. F. T. U.'s own statute and resolutions debarred it from admitting the Russians.

He said that in the view of the American Federation of Labor the proposal had before it a proposal submitted by the Soviet unions, the Social Communist Party, and the Soviet Government, not the affiliation, but for a "unity" agreement.

"May I say frankly, on behalf of the A. F. of L. representation," he said, "that it not propose to enter into an agreement directly or indirectly with any form of trade unions."

He agreed there was a Fascist menace, but Fascism was not the only form of dictatorial Government that was seeking dominance in the world. Communism and Fascism were equally intolerable to free peoples.

Mexico's Future

Addressing himself to the Mexican delegate (who had favored Russia's entry), Mr. Woll said the A. F. of L. keenly sympathized with them.

"We know," he said, "that even Mexico itself may sooner or later be confronted with strife from within.

"We hope Mexico may maintain its democracy and we stand prepared to give aid and service in maintaining it."

But that task, he said, would not be achieved by giving any encouragement to divisions in Labor's ranks in the United States.

JOHN MORRISON, LABOR UNION LEADER, DIES

Prominent Figure in Trade Union Circles For More Than 50 Years Passes Away in New York City At 74.

New York, N. Y. (AFLWNS)—John Morrison, prominent in the organized labor movement for more than half a century, died at his home, 535 West 232 Avenue here, June 19 at the age of 74. Pneumonia was cause of his death was lobar pneumonia.

Mr. Morrison was president of the Carpet Weavers International Union in the Eighteen Eighties. Since then he has been associated with the trade union movement in many capacities. He was a friend of the late Samuel Gompers, first president of the American Federation of Labor, and various union officials, official organ of the American Federation of Labor, and various union officials, are in the bundling trades.

Those who were privileged to know him will keenly regret his passing. He is also survived by his wife, who has assisted readily, adventured boldly, defended courageously and counseled a friend unchangeable through the years.

He was a firm believer in the fundamentals of organization, collective bargaining and our democratic institutions—felt and expressed that the Democratic system which employs men, women and children under safe and healthful working conditions affects not only the workers themselves but the public as well. He was one for industrial stability and greatly improved and laws enacted to bring about that end for the workers in industry.

His memory of his untiring and unselfish devotion will ever remain an inspiration to those coming along in the labor movement today. Trade unionists deeply lament the ending of a career of unceasing activity for the Working Class Movement and striving in the interests of freedom in democracy.

The British T. U. C. believed the conference would be acting wrongly in accepting the Russian conditions, and it asked the conference to vote against them and to continue its grave task of trade union unity with those who were able to accept the standards and rules of the I. F. T. U. and to adhere to its policy.

"Sanctions"

M. Mertens, (Belgium) pointed out how essential the Russian conditions were.

For example, "sanctions" were to be applied by the working class against Fascist countries, but Russia had very friendly commercial relations with Fascist countries, and those would presumably continue while workers elsewhere were required to strike and to take sanctions.

The Soviet unions would not take the action expected of others; to strike was illegal almost in Russia.

Continuing the conversations would only increase confusion, and the position the Russians wished to create that confusion.

M. Meister (Switzerland) said the Swiss T. U. C. stood unreservedly for democracy. If the Russian unions admitted the Swiss would have to consider carefully whether they could stay in the International.

"It Means Unity"

Mr. Matthew Woll (United States) submitted that the I. F. T. U.'s own statute and resolutions debarred it from admitting the Russians.

He said that in the view of the American Federation of Labor the proposal had before it a proposal submitted by the Soviet unions, the Social Communist Party, and the Soviet Government, not the affiliation, but for a "unity" agreement.

"May I say frankly, on behalf of the A. F. of L. representation," he said, "that it not propose to enter into an agreement directly or indirectly with any form of trade unions."

He agreed there was a Fascist menace, but Fascism was not the only form of dictatorial Government that was seeking dominance in the world. Communism and Fascism were equally intolerable to free peoples.

Mexico's Future

Addressing himself to the Mexican delegate (who had favored Russia's entry), Mr. Woll said the A. F. of L. keenly sympathized with them.

"We know," he said, "that even Mexico itself may sooner or later be confronted with strife from within.

"We hope Mexico may maintain its democracy and we stand prepared to give aid and service in maintaining it."

But that task, he said, would not be achieved by giving any encouragement to divisions in Labor's ranks in the United States.

Rutgers University Labor Institute Discusses Labor and Public Policy

Federal Labor Relations Act, Paid Vacations, Trade Treaties, Japan's War Against China, Housing, State Labor Relations Acts, Industrial Relations, and Health Insurance Are Considered by Competent Speakers During Four-Day Meeting at New Brunswick, N. J., Attended by 140 Labor Leaders.

By A. F. of L. Weekly News Service.

The Eighth Annual Institute of Labor, which is held on the campus of Rutgers University, New Brunswick, N. J., each year, in cooperation with the New Jersey Federation of Labor and the Workers Education Bureau, concluded its largest and most successful session on Thursday afternoon, June 16.

The topic for discussion this year was Labor and Public Policy, and the Institute consisted of a series of nine sessions devoted to some of the more important questions before labor in the fields of both domestic and international politics.

On the opening afternoon, Monday, June 13, Thomas Emerson, counsel for the National Labor Relations Board, discussed the operation of the National Labor Relations Act, and the administrative procedure of the board. Professor Wesley Pasdoy, Professor of Economics at Rutgers University, outlined some of the provisions in the proposed New Jersey Labor Relations Act.

A further discussion on the New Jersey Act was held at the dinner meeting on Monday, at which Thomas Parsonnet discussed the provisions of the proposed law. At the same session Carl Stock, director of the American Council for Democracy, discussed the necessity for a public relations function for the trade unions.

Vacations With Pay Considered

On Tuesday Charles Morris Mills, one of the foremost authorities on vacations with pay, discussed the development of the movement. His address was followed by a presentation of interest in industry, by Mary Elizabeth Pidgeon of the Women's Bureau of the U. S. Department of Labor. At a special luncheon meeting Dr. Leon Henderson, chairman of the National Women's Trade Union League and a member of the Labor Commission of New York State, outlined the women's trade union situation in the United States.

In the afternoon, Warren D. Mullin, of the National Council for the Prevention of War, gave an eloquent defense of the Hull trade treaties and Mrs. Elvira Fradkin, of the New Jersey Council for Foreign Relations, discussed the development of American foreign policy both in the political and economic spheres.

At the evening session on Tuesday, Dr. T. A. Bisson of the Foreign Policy Association, who has recently returned from an extended visit in the Orient, discussed the problem of "The Orient Ablaze" and outlined the trends of the present Sino-Japanese struggle.

Wednesday, June 15, was designated as Building Trades Day and was devoted to certain problems in connection with the building industry. Miss Catherine Bauer, director of research and information for the U. S. Housing Authority, discussed Federal and New Jersey housing legislation and the problem of charity, discussed Federal and New Jersey housing legislation and the problem of charity, discussed Federal and New Jersey housing legislation and the problem of charity